# CSE 152: Computer Vision Hao Su 

## Lecture 19: Final Review



## Coverage

- Anything taught this quarter may appear
- >90\% on materials from L12 (3D Deep Learning)


## Form

- 3 big problems that need calculation
- Understand your homework well
- A few short Q\&A


## Principle No. 1

- No cheating!
- We will curve and be generous in grading
- But we will not tolerate any cheating
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## Lecture 12: 3D Deep Learning



Credit: Stanford CS231n, L13

## Voxelization

Represent the occupancy of regular 3D grids


## 3D CNN on Volumetric Data

## 3D convolution uses 4D kernels



## Complexity Issue



AlexNet, 2012
Input resolution: 224x224
224x224=50176


3DShapeNets, 2015

Input resolution: 30×30×30 224x224=27000

## Store only the Occupied Grids

- Store the sparse surface signals
- Constrain the computation near the surface




## Point cloud

(The most common 3D sensor data)

## Properties of a Desired Point Network

Point cloud: N orderless points, each represented by a
D dim coordinate


2D array representation

## Permutation Invariance

Point cloud: N orderless points, each represented by a D dim coordinate


2D array representation

## Construct a Symmetric Function

## Observe:

$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\gamma \circ g\left(h\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ is symmetric if $g$ is symmetric

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2,3)$ | simple symmetric func |
| $(1,1,1)$ |  |
| $(2,3,2)$ | - |
| $(2,3,4)$ | PointNet (vanilla) |
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## Lecture 13: Camera Models



Credit: CS231a, Stanford, Silvio Savarese

## Converting to pixels



Projective transformation in the homogenous coordinate system

## Camera Skewness



## World reference system



> intrinsic parameters
> extrinsic parameters

## The projective transformation



How many degrees of freedom?

$$
5+3+3=11!
$$
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## Lecture 14: Multiview Geometry



## Epipolar Geometry



- Baselines
- Epipoles: $\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}$
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center
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## Epipolar Geometry
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## Epipolar Geometry



- Baselines
- Epipolar plane
- Epipoles: $e_{1}, e_{2}$
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center


## Epipolar Geometry

so, a pixel corresponds to a line in the other view

a pixel corresponds to a ray a ray corresponds to a line in the other view

- Baselines
- Epipolar plane
- Epipoles: $\mathrm{e}_{1}$, $\mathrm{e}_{2}$
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center


## Epipolar Geometry



- Baselines
- Epipolar plane
- Epipolar line
- Epipoles: $\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}$
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center


## Epipolar Geometry

All of the epipolar lines in an


- Baselines
- Epipolar plane
- Epipolar line
- Epipoles: $\mathrm{e}_{1}$, $\mathrm{e}_{2}$
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center


## Essential Matrix



- Assume $p$ and $q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are two points on the (virtual) image plane of two cameras
- Denoted by the pinhole frame coordinate in the corresponding cameras


## Essential Matrix



- We have: $\left(p^{1}\right)^{T}[t]_{\times} R q^{2}=0$
- Define: $\mathbf{E}=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}$
Essential matrix
- Then, we have:

$$
\left(p^{1}\right)^{T} E q^{2}=0 \xrightarrow[\text { superscript }]{\text { omit }} \quad p^{T} E q=0
$$

## Fundamental Matrix



- Consider intrinsic camera matrices
- Then, $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ are in the pinhole frame and pixel counterparts are:

$$
\underset{\text { matriver }}{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}} \mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{q}^{\prime}=\mathbf{K}_{2} \mathbf{q}
$$

- Recall essential matrix constraint:
- Substituting, we have:

$$
p^{T} E q=0
$$

$$
\left(K_{1}^{-1} p^{\prime}\right)^{T} E\left(K_{2}^{-1} q^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

## Fundamental Matrix



- Essential matrix constraint in pixel space:

$$
\left(K_{1}^{-1} p^{\prime}\right)^{T} E\left(K_{2}^{-1} q^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

- Rearranging: $p^{\prime T} K_{1}^{-T} E K_{2}^{-1} q^{\prime}=0$
- Define:

$$
F=K_{1}^{-T} E K_{2}^{-1}
$$

$$
\text { Fundamental matrix } \quad \operatorname{rank}(F)=2
$$

- Then, we have:

$$
p^{\prime T} F q^{\prime}=0
$$

## Epipolar Constraint

$p_{1}^{T} \cdot F p_{2}=0$
. $w_{1}=F p_{2}$ defines an equation $w_{1}^{T} p_{1}=0$

- Note that, $P_{1}$ is the corresponding point of $P_{2}$ by the derivation of F
- so, $w_{1}=F p_{2}$ defines the epipolar line of $p_{2}$


## Why $F$ is useful?



## Suppose F is known

No additional information about the scene and camera is given

- Given a point on left image, we can compute the corresponding epipolar line in the second image


## Estimating F

Suppose we have a pair of corresponding points:

## [Eq. 13] $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{p}=0$

$$
p=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad p^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime} \\
v^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
(u, v, 1)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} \\
F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} \\
F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime} \\
v^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

Let's take 8 corresponding points

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{11} \\
F_{12} \\
F_{13} \\
F_{21} \\
F_{22} \\
F_{23} \\
F_{31} \\
F_{32} \\
F_{33}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

## Estimating F



## Estimating F

W

$:$| $u_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}$ | $u_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ | $u_{1}$ | $v_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}$ | $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ | $v_{1}$ | $u_{1}^{\prime}$ | $v_{1}^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}$ | $u_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}$ | $u_{2}$ | $v_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}$ | $v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}$ | $v_{2}$ | $u_{2}^{\prime}$ | $v_{2}^{\prime}$ |
| $u_{3}$ | $1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $F_{11}$ $F_{12}$ $F_{13}$ $F_{21}$ $F_{22}$ $F_{23}$ $F_{31}$ $F_{32}$ $F_{33}$ | $=0 \quad \text { [Eqs. 15] }$ |
| :---: | :---: |

- Homogeneous system $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{f}=0$
- Rank $8 \rightarrow$ A non-zero solution exists (unique)
- If $\mathrm{N}>8 \rightarrow$ Lsq. solution by SVD! $\rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{F}}$

$$
\|f\|=1
$$

## Flow of the 8-Point Algorithm

$$
W f=0,\|f\|=1
$$



Do you remember how to solve the problem?
Hint: Check your HW1 (by the SVD of W)

## Find $F$ that minimizes $\|F-\hat{F}\|$

 Frobenius norm (*)
## Subject to $\operatorname{det}(F)=0$



## Simultaneous Correspondence and F Estimation

- Simultaneous Correspondence and F Estimation
- With F, it is easier to compute correspondence
- With correspondence, we can estimate F
- A Chicken-or-Egg problem



## Basic Pipeline: Feature detection

Detect features using, for example, SIFT [Lowe, IJCV 2004] or learning-based keypoint detector


## VLFeat's 800 most confident matches among 10,000+ local features.



## Basic Pipeline: Repeat the above steps (RANSAC)

for i in range( n ):
randomly choose some pairs repeat for $m$ times:
based on the inliers, estimate $F$ based on $F$, remove pairs with big errors
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## Lecture 16: Stereo Reconstruction



## Problem setup

- Known:
- Two views of the same scene
- Corresponding points between views
- Intrinsic camera matrices $\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$, i.e., camera calibration has been done
- Fundamental matrix $F$
- Question: Point coordinates in 3D space


## Step I: Estimate (R,T) Between Cameras



## Step I: Estimate (R,T) Between Cameras

- Get E from F:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=K_{1}^{-T} E K_{2}^{-1} \\
& E=K_{1}^{T} E K_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Decompose E into skew-symmetric and rotation matrices:

$$
\mathbf{E}=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}
$$

## Step II: Reprojection Error Minimization

- With $K_{i}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t}$, we can compute the projection matrices for both cameras:

$$
\left.\mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}} \xlongequal[\substack{\text { Internal parameters } \\ \text { External parameters }}]{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{~T}\right] \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}
$$

- The projective projection equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i}= {\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{i} \\
v_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathbf{m}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathbf{m}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{i}}} \\
\frac{\mathbf{m}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathbf{m}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{M}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{m}_{1} \\
\mathbf{m}_{2} \\
\mathbf{m}_{3}
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \text { A non-linear transformation, denoted by } p_{i}=f\left(P_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step II: Reprojection Error Minimization

- Minimize sum of squared reproduction errors:

- Optimized with non-linear least squares
- LM algorithm (Levenberg-Marquardt) is a popular choice
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Lecture 17: Motion Estimation


## Motion Field \& Optical Flow Field

- Motion Field = Real world 3D motion
- Optical Flow Field = Projection of the motion field onto the 2d image



## Apparent motion

- Optical flow differs from actual motion field:
- (a) intensity remains constant, so that no motion is perceived;
- (b) no object motion exists, however moving light source produces shading changes.



## Key Assumptions: Brightness Constancy



Assumption
Image measurements (e.g. brightness) in a small region remain the same although their location may change.

$$
I(x+u, y+v, t+1)=I(x, y, t)
$$

(assumption)

## Key Assumptions: Small Motions



Assumption:
The image motion of a surface patch changes gradually over time.

## Key Assumptions: Spatial Coherence



Assumption

* Neighboring points in the scene typically belong to the same surface and hence typically have similar motions.
* Since they also project to nearby points in the image, we expect spatial coherence in image flow.


## Optical Flow Constraints (grayscale images)



$$
I(x, y, t)
$$



$$
I(x, y, t+1)
$$

- Let's look at these constraints more closely
- Brightness constancy constraint (equation)

$$
I(x, y, t)=I(x+u, y+v, t+1)
$$

- Small motion: ( $u$ and $v$ are less than 1 pixel, or smoothly varying) Taylor series expansion of $I$ :

$$
I(x+u, y+v, t+1)=I(x, y, t)+\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} u+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} v+\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}+o(1)
$$

## The Brightness Constancy Constraint

Can we use this equation to recover image motion $(u, v)$ at each pixel?

$$
0=I_{t}+\nabla I \cdot<u, v>
$$

- How many equations and unknowns per pixel?
- One equation (this is a scalar equation!), two unknowns (u,v)

The component of the flow perpendicular to the gradient (i.e., parallel to the edge) cannot be measured

If ( $u, v$ ) satisfies the equation, so does ( $\left.u+u^{\prime}, v+v^{\prime}\right)$ if

$$
\nabla \| \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u^{\prime} & v^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]^{T}=0
$$



## Solving the Ambiguity...

B. Lucas and T. Kanade. An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 674-679, 1981.

- How to get more equations for a pixel?
- Spatial coherence constraint

Assume the pixel's neighbors have the same (u,v)
If we use a $5 \times 5$ window, that gives us 25 equations per pixel

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=I_{t}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)+\nabla I\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot[u v] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{x}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}\right) \\
I_{x}\left(\mathbf{p}_{2}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathbf{p}_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I_{x}\left(\mathbf{p}_{25}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathbf{p}_{25}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{t}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}\right) \\
I_{t}\left(\mathbf{p}_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
I_{t}\left(\mathbf{p}_{25}\right)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Matching Matches Across Images

- Overconstrained linear system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{x}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \\
I_{x}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I_{x}\left(\mathrm{p}_{25}\right) & I_{y}\left(\mathrm{p}_{25}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{t}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \\
I_{t}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
I_{t}\left(\mathbf{p}_{25}\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
A \\
25 \times 2
\end{gathered} \quad d=b 125 \times 1
$$

Least squares solution for $d$ given by $\left(A^{T} A\right) d=A^{T} b$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\nabla I\left(p_{1}\right)\right)^{T} \\
\vdots \\
\left(\nabla I\left(p_{n}\right)^{T}\right.
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow A^{T} A=\sum_{i} \nabla I\left(p_{i}\right)\left(\nabla I\left(p_{i}\right)\right)^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum I_{x} I_{x} & \sum I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum I_{x} I_{y} & \sum I_{y} I_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The summations are over all pixels in the $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{K}$ window

## Interpreting the Eigenvalues

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of $A^{T} A$


## Harris Corner Detector



